

The decision by Sinclair Broadcasting to have their stations air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clearly unethical and just one reason why media consolidation is so dangerous.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest, but instead they are clearly promoting an agenda that benefits them. Can that truly be in the public's interest? It's important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.